



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,774 08/31/2001		08/31/2001	Anthony Robert Thomas	82001-0194	9205
24633	7590	12/17/2003	EXAMINER		INER
HOGAN &			SNAPP, SANDRA S		
IP GROUP, COLUMBIA SQUARE 555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	20004	3624		
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		_			,)		
		Applica	ation No.	oplicant(s)			
•		09/942	2,774	THOMAS ET AL.	T		
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Sandra	Snapp	3624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addres	s		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU insions of time may be available under the provisic SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no mmunication. r (30) days, a reply within the set attaction will apply an	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun	nication.		
- Any	ure to reply within the set or extended period for re reply received by the Office later than three month ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is after the mailing date of this					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 16 Sentembe	or 2003				
2a)□	,	2b)⊠ This action is					
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowance exce	ept for formal matters, p		rits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims	Slice drider Ex parte	<i>Quayle</i> , 1955 O.D. 11,	433 0.0. 213.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the	annlication					
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32 and 3</u>	• •	om consideration				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u></u> 10, a. 0	on ochoration.				
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,23-31 and 34-40</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) 17-22 is/are objected to.	•					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or electior	n requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. §	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clai All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included. 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made of a claim	ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docu- tional Bureau (PCT Rion for a list of the ce for domestic priority ded in the first senten anguage provisional	een received. een received in Application ments have been received in 17.2(a)). ertified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ice of the specification application has been received.	ation No ived in this National Stag ived. 9(e) (to a provisional app or in an Application Data eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a spe	lication) a Sheet. ecific		
re	eference was included in the first se	entence of the specifi	cauon or in an Applica	IIOH Data Sheet, 37 CFK	1.70.		
Attachmen			_				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,774

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Specification

The objection to the Abstract is herein withdrawn. The Applicant has successfully amended the Abstract to comply with maximum 150 word count.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 23, 24 and 27-24 is herein withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on 9-16-03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims 1-22, 25-26 and 35-38 based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Barni patent (US 6,064,981) in view of the Brown patent (US 5,794,219) is herein withdrawn in view of the Amendment filed 9-16-03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-16, 23-31 and 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-40 lack any specific reference to technology.

Although the claims state "an electronic market" and "in electronic communication" the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/942,774

Art Unit: 3624

do not specify any specific technology. It is the position of the Patent Office that some specific form of technology needs to be claimed, i.e. a computer, a data storage unit, etc. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences has stated claims that lack technology are nonstatutory and are "nothing more than an abstract idea which is not tied to any technological art and is not a useful art as contemplated by the Constitution." *Ex parte Bowman*, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)(Unpublished). While the Bowman case is not considered precedential, it is cited herein for its reasoning and rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the cited prior art of record shows, discloses or teaches an electronic market system wherein the buyers and sellers are capable of designating selected other buyers and sellers as preferred trading partners, as presently claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment A, filed 9-16-03, with respect to claims 1-38 have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, the application remains rejected based on the new grounds of rejection set forth in the present Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,774

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

ss (b)

Vine I selle

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600